



The Effect of Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Management of Overactive Bladder in the Pediatric Population; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Fariba Roshdibonab¹, Seied Mohammadbager FazlJoo¹, Mohammadali Torbati¹, Ghadir Mohammadi¹, Mahboob Asadloo², *Hamid Noshad³

¹Department of Iranian Traditional Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

²Department of Psychiatry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

³Chronic Kidney Disease Research Center, Department of Nephrology, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Abstract

Background: In traditional medicine and recent years, nerve stimulation has been introduced as a replacement therapy for managing several disorders such as overactive bladder. However, there is still controversy in this regard. Therefore, the present meta-analysis aimed to assess the effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder.

Materials and Methods: The present systematic review and meta-analysis attempted to gather the evidence existing in Medline, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Sciences and CINHAL databases until the end of October 2017. Summarization of the articles was done by 2 independent researchers and finally, pooled effect size was reported as standardized mean difference (SMD) or overall odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (95%CI). In all analyses p<0.05 was considered as level of significance.

Results: Data of 13 articles were entered. Analyses showed that using electrical nerve stimulation results in a significant decrease in wet days per week (SMD= -0.92; 95% CI: -1.47 to -0.37) and voiding frequency (SMD= -1.09; 95% CI: -2.15 to -0.03), and increase in maximum voided volume (SMD= 0.43; 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.73) and average voided volume (SMD= 0.78; 95% CI: 0.11 to 1.45). Finally, the success rate in the electrical nerve stimulation group was up to 2 times higher than the placebo or routine treatment group (odds ratio=2.11; 95% CI: 1.10 to 4.06; p=0.009).

Conclusion: Since the findings of the present study indicate the effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation in improvement of overactive bladder symptoms in children, it is suggested to use it in routine practice.

Key Words: Neuromodulation, Overactive bladder, Pediatric, Urinary Incontinence.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Roshdibonab F, FazlJoo SM, Torbati M, Mohammadi Gh, Asadloo M, Noshad H. The Effect of Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Management of Overactive Bladder in the Pediatric Population; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Pediatr 2017; 5(12): 6741-55. DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2017.28061.2428**

*Corresponding Author:

Received date Sep: 25, 2017 ; Accepted date: Nov. 12, 2017

Hamid Noshad, Chronic Kidney Disease Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Azadi Street, Tabriz, Iran,

Email: hamidnoshad1@yahoo.com

1- INTRODUCTION

Overactive bladder is a common and complicated disorder consisting of various urinary symptoms, which manifests as a sudden need to urinate without the patient being able to control it. In Iranian traditional medicine, this disorder was more recognized and it was called "Salas Alboul" meaning urinary incontinence. Avicenna defines it as involuntary leakage of urine. He describes various etiologies for urinarv incontinence including excessive use of diuretics, presence of pressure on the bladder such as during pregnancy as well as damage to the vertebra due to trauma (1).

The prevalence of this problem in children and adolescents is so much more than adults. Statistics show its 5% to 12% prevalence in children under the age of 10 years and 0.5% prevalence in 16 to 18 years old adolescents. The psychological and social effects of this problem are very serious and existing studies have expressed that those affected with overactive bladder have a greater odds of developing anxiety disorders. depression and other psychological conditions (2). A review performed on available evidence showed that both wet and dry overactive bladder lead to decrease in quality of life and social isolation. In addition to these points, the cost inflicted by this condition is very high and has been estimated to be 12.6 billion dollars in America (3).

Current treatments for overactive bladder emphasize on behavioral and cognitive approaches. Supportive and pharmaceutical treatments and even surgical therapy have been proposed as other choices for control and management of overactive bladder (4). In recent years, neuromodulation has been introduced as a replacement technique for management of treatment-resistant overactive bladder. The accurate mechanism of electrical nerve stimulation in controlling the symptoms of

this disease is not fully known but it has been said that stimulation of peripheral nerves such as sacral and tibial nerves can inhibit the detrusor muscles of the bladder. In addition, stimulation of these nerves leads to activation of pelvic floor muscles, which in turn results in inhibition of bladder muscles (5). In Iranian traditional medicine, urinary incontinence (or Salas alboul) has been deemed related to shin cramps and in the book "Treasures dedicated to the king of Khwarazm" it has been said that bladder weakness is associated with shin problems (6). Based on this viewpoint of traditional medicine, acupuncture would be used as a treatment method for treating urinary incontinence by stimulating peripheral nerves (7).

However, there is still a lot of controversy in this field, especially regarding treating children with overactive bladder. One solution for overcoming this problem is performing a systematic review and metaanalysis (8-28). For this purpose, the present systematic review and metaanalysis is designed aiming to evaluate the efficacy of electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder in the pediatric population.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study design

The present systematic review and meta-analysis gathered has existing clinical evidence regarding the effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder. Search was done without time limitation and search deadline was determined to be the end of September 2017. Designing search strategy and summarizing method and reporting it were done based on the standard protocols and Cochrane and PRISMA guidelines (29, 30).

2-2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

In the present study, clinical trials that had a control group (placebo or routine treatment) aiming to evaluate the effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder in children (under 19 years old) were included. Studies without a control and review studies studies group. performed on adults were excluded.

2-3. Search strategy

The search strategy was designed after consulting with a librarian. For this purpose. words relating to nerve stimulation in combination with overactive bladder were searched in Medline. EMBASE, Web of Sciences, Scopus and CINHAL databases. In addition, a manual search was performed in Google and Google Scholar, bibliography of related articles and review articles, and finally a search was done in the thesis section of ProQuest database. The search strategy used in Medline database (via PubMed) has been shown in **Table.1**.

2-4. Data synthesis and quality control

After finishing searching in databases and saving the obtained records in Endnote 7.0 software and deleting duplicate records, two researchers read the titles and abstracts of related articles, independently. Each researcher evaluated the related articles based on inclusion and exclusion criteria and record the required data. Data extracted from the articles are shown in Table.2. In the present study, the studied outcomes were success rate; average voiding voiding volume; maximum volume; maximum detrusor pressure; incontinence score; voiding frequency and wet per week. In the end, quality control of the studies was done using Cochrane guideline (29).

2-5. Statistical analysis

All analyses were done in STATA 14.0 software. It should be noted that analyses were done based on the evaluated outcomes. For this purpose, data of each article were summarized and using fixed or random effect models, a pooled effect size was introduced. Choosing either fixed or random effect model was done based on the presence or absence of heterogeneity (I² larger than 50% or p less than 0.1 weredefined as heterogeneity). Finally, pooled effect size was reported as either standardized mean difference (SMD) or overall odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI). In all analyses, p<0.05 was considered as level of significance.

3- RESULTS

3-1. Characteristics of the included studies

Flowchart of selecting the studies has been presented in Figure.1. In the initial search, 1,739 non-duplicate articles were and after retrieved the screening performed. finally 13 articles were included in the present meta-analysis (31-43). These studies included the data of 422 children and adolescents 3 to 18 years old. Two hundred and two children were in the control group and 220 were in the group under treatment with electrical nerve stimulation; 47.64% of the population boys. Transcutaneous electrical were neural stimulation was the most common method for electrical nerve stimulation among the studies. The most important outcomes evaluated were success rate: average voiding volume; maximum voiding volume; maximum detrusor pressure; incontinence score; voiding frequency and wet per week.



Fig.1: PRISMA flowchart of the present meta-analysis..

3-2. Risk of bias and heterogeneity between studies

Based on the evaluated outcomes, heterogeneity between the studies would change. As **Figures.3-5** show, in the section evaluating the effect of electrical nerve stimulation on incontinence severity ($I^2=14.3\%$; p=0.321), wet days/week

 $(I^2=37.6\%; p=0.186)$, maximum voided volume $(I^2=21.7\%; p=0.257)$, and maximum detrusors pressure $(I^2=0.0\%; p=0.858)$ there was no heterogeneity, but in other evaluated outcomes significant heterogeneity was seen. However, there was no publication bias in the present study (**Figure.2**).

3-3. The effect of using electrical nerve stimulation on improvement of patients with overactive bladder

A) Urinary incontinence score

Four studies had attempted to evaluate the effect of electrical nerve stimulation on incontinence scores (33, 35, 36, 40). Overall, the analyses of this section using showed that this treatment modalitydoes not have an effect on improvement of urinary incontinence severity (standardized mean difference [SMD] = -0.35; 95% confidence interval [95%CI]: -0.77 to 0.08; p=0.108) (Figure.3).

B) Wet days per week

In this section of analyses, 4 studies were included (34-37). The analyses of this section showed that using nerve stimulation significantly reduces wet days per week (SMD= -0.92; 95% CI: -1.47 to -0.37; p=0.001) (**Figure.3**).

C) Voiding frequency

The search performed in the present metaanalysis led to inclusion of 6 studies that had assessed the effect of nerve stimulation on voiding frequency (35, 36, 38-40, 42). Analyses of this section showed that using this therapeutic technique can significantly reduce voiding frequency in patients with overactive bladder (SMD= -1.09; 95% CI: -2.15 to -0.03; p=0.044) (**Figure.3**).

D) Maximum voided volume

In this part of analyses, data of 8 studies were included (32, 33, 35-40). Analyses showed that nerve stimulation leads to increase in maximum voided volume in pediatric patients with overactive bladder (SMD= 0.43; 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.73; p=0.005) (**Figure.4**).

E) Average voided volume

Five studies had assessed the effect of the modality under evaluation on average voided volume (32, 35, 38-40). Analyses showed that using nerve stimulation results in an increase in average voided volume among children with overactive bladder (SMD= 0.78; 95% CI: 0.11 to 1.45; p=0.022) (**Figure.4**).

F) Maximum detrusors pressure

In this section of analyses, 3 original articles were included (33, 36, 37), and pooled analysis showed that electrical nerve stimulation does not have an effect on maximum detrusors pressure in children with overactive bladder (SMD= -0.42; 95% CI: -0.87 to 0.04; p=0.072) (**Figure.4**).

G) Success rate

The success rate of electrical nerve stimulation in children with overactive bladder was reported in 9 studies (31, 33-35, 38-41, 43). Result showed that success rate in the electrical nerve stimulation treated group was up to 2 times more than placebo or routine treatment method groups (odds ratio=2.11; 95% CI: 1.10 to 4.06; p=0.009) (**Figure.5**).





Fig.2: Assessment of publication bias (A) and risk of bias (B) in the present meta-analysis.



Fig.3: Forrest plot for efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on incontinence score, wet days per week and voiding frequency in children with overactive bladder. CI: Confidence interval; SMD: Standardized mean difference.



Fig.4: Forrest plot for efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on manometry parameters in children with overactive bladder. CI: Confidence interval; SMD: Standardized mean difference.



Fig.5: Forrest plot for success rate of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in children with overactive bladder. CI: Confidence interval.

4- DISCUSSION

The present study attempted to reach a conclusion by gathering the existing evidence regarding the effect of electrical nerve stimulation in management of overactive bladder in children for the first time. Findings indicted that using this treatment intervention leads to decrease in the number of wet days per week and voiding frequency, and increase in maximum voided volume and average voided volume. Finally, pooled analysis showed that the rate of treatment success in the group under treatment with electrical nerve stimulation is significantly higher than placebo or standard treatment group. Decrease in the number of wet days per week is a reliable scale for assessing the effectiveness of urinary incontinence This reflects treatment. scale the individual's quality of life. Because

serious psycho-mental stress on the patient and this in turn leads to social isolation, drop in self-esteem and even manifestation of mental illnesses (44). Therefore, a treatment modality that can decrease this problem can have a significant effect on improvement of the quality of life. Urodynamic scales are among other variables that have repeatedly been used in studies for evaluating the effectiveness of urinary incontinence treatment. These scales are indicators of the function of bladder and its sphincters (45-50). The present meta-analysis showed that voiding frequency decreases under the effect of neural stimulation. This variable, which is known as the need for urinating frequently throughout the day or night, is the indicator of the activity of bladder muscles. Therefore, it is evident that neural stimulation leads to a decrease in urinary urgency. This might be the reason that

presence of urinary incontinence inflicts

under the effect of this modality, average voiding volume and maximum voiding volume increase. In comparison to the findings of the present study, in a systematic review Barroso and Lordêlo showed that neural stimulation is an efficient method for treatment of overactive bladder and can be easily tolerated by children (51). However, that systematic review had limitations including not performing a meta-analysis and including various types of studies without considering the methodology of the study (for example including studies that lacked a control group). In addition, in 2011, by performing a systematic review with a similar goal, De Gennaro et al. showed that existing clinical evidence regarding the effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation in management of overactive bladder are not sufficient and there is a need for designing standard clinical trials for this purpose (52). Gaziev et al. in their systematic review express that percutaneous tibial electrical nerve stimulation is a reliable and safe method in treatment of lower urinarv tract dysfunctions in adults (53). Finally, Zhu et al. in their meta-analysis on adults showed that electrical stimulation definitely has an effect on improvement of overactive bladder (54).

4-1. Limitation

Among the limitations of the present study, presence of heterogeneity in evaluation of some of the evaluated outcomes can be pointed out. However, the cause of this heterogeneity is not known. It is probable that the origin of this heterogeneity is difference in electrical nerve stimulation treatment protocols or it could be caused by the differences in the population of the studied children. Another limitation of the present study is the difference between the definitions of success rate among the studies. This might somehow show the presence of bias in this section.

5- CONCLUSIONS

Available studies express that using electrical nerve stimulation may lead to of overactive improvement bladder symptoms in children. However, lack of a complete conclusion led to motivation of the researchers of the present study to perform a systematic review and metaanalysis in this regard. Findings indicate effectiveness of this the treatment intervention in decreasing the number of wet days per week and voiding frequency, and increase in maximum voided volume and average voided volume. Finally, pooled analysis showed that the rate of treatment success in the group under treatment with electrical nerve stimulation is significantly higher than placebo or standard treatment group. Based on these findings, it is suggested to use electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of overactive bladder in children.

6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

7- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Hereby, we would like to thank Ms. Yazdanbakhsh for language editing and improving the paper.

8- REFERENCES

1. Sina I. The canon of medicine. Vol. 3. Tehran. 2010. p. 373.

2. Franco I. Overactive bladder in children. Nature reviews Urology. 2016;13(9):520-32.

3. Tubaro A, Palleschi G. Overactive bladder: epidemiology and social impact. Current opinion in obstetrics & gynecology. 2005;17(5):507-11.

4. Ching CB. Current Treatment Options for Nonneurogenic Overactive Bladder in Children. Current Bladder Dysfunction Reports. 2016;11(1):29-37.

5. Hohenfellner M, Dahms S, Matzel K, Thüroff J. Sacral neuromodulation for treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunction. BJU international. 2000;85(S3):10-9. 6. Jorjani SI. Zakhireye Khwarazmshahi (Treasures dedicated to the king of Khwarazm). Tehran: Iranian Culture Foundation; 2005.

7. Emmons SL, Otto L. Acupuncture for overactive bladder: a randomized controlled trial. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2005;106(1):138-43.

8. Ebrahimi A, Yousefifard M, Kazemi HM, Rasouli HR, Asady H, Jafari AM, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of chest ultrasonography versus chest radiography for identification of pneumothorax: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Tanaffos. 2014;13(4):29.

9. Hosseini M, Yousefifard M, Aziznejad H, Nasirinezhad F. The effect of bone marrow– derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation on allodynia and hyperalgesia in neuropathic animals: a systematic review with metaanalysis. Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. 2015;21(9):1537-44.

10. Yousefifard M, Rahimi-Movaghar V, Nasirinezhad F, Baikpour M, Safari S, Saadat S, et al. Neural stem/progenitor cell transplantation for spinal cord injury treatment; A systematic review and metaanalysis. Neuroscience. 2016; 322: 377-97.

11. Hosseini M, Ghelichkhani P, Baikpour M, Tafakhori A, Asady H, Ghanbari MJH, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of pulmonary contusion; a systematic review and metaanalysis. Emergency. 2015;3(4):127.

12. Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, Asady H, Nia KS, Jafari AM, et al. Screening performance characteristic of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of pleural effusion; a meta-analysis. Emergency. 2016;4(1):1.

13. Safari S, Yousefifard M, Hashemi B, Baratloo A, Forouzanfar MM, Rahmati F, et al. The value of serum creatine kinase in predicting the risk of rhabdomyolysis-induced acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical and experimental nephrology. 2016;20(2):153-61.

14. Rahimi-Movaghar V, Yousefifard M, Ghelichkhani P, Baikpour M, Tafakhori A, Asady H, et al. Application of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of hemothorax; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Emergency. 2016;4(3):116.

15. Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, Asady H, Darafarin A, Esfahani MRA, et al. Comparison of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of thoracic bone fractures; a systematic review and metaanalysis. Emergency. 2016;4(2):55.

16. Hassanzadeh- Rad A, Yousefifard M, Katal S, Asady H, Fard- Esfahani A, Moghadas Jafari A, et al. The value of 18F- fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography for prediction of treatment response in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a systematic review and meta- analysis. Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology. 2016;31(5):929-35.

17. Yousefifard M, Rahimi-Movaghar V, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, Hosseini M, Jafari A, et al. Early versus late spinal decompression surgery in treatment of traumatic spinal cord injuries; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Emergency. 2017;5(1): xx.

18. Hosseini M, Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Rahimi-Movaghar V, Nasirinezhad F, Younesian S, et al. The efficacy of Schwann cell transplantation on motor function recovery after spinal cord injuries in animal models: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of chemical neuroanatomy. 2016;78:102-11.

19. Ghelichkhani P, Yousefifard M, Nazemi L, Safari S, Hosseini M, Baikpour M, et al. The value of serum β -subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin level in prediction of treatment response to methotrexate in management of ectopic pregnancy; а systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016;4(9):3503-18.

20. Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, Asady H, Shahsavari Nia K, Moghadas Jafari A, et al. Screening Performance Characteristic of Ultrasonography and Radiography in Detection of Pleural Effusion; a Meta-Analysis. Emergency. 2015;4(0):9682.

21. Izadi A, Yousefifard M, Nakhjavan-Shahraki B, Baikpour M, Mirzay Razaz J, Ataei N, et al. Value of plasma/serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in detection of pediatric acute kidney injury; a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016;4(11):3815-36.

22. Izadi A, Yousefifard M, Nakhjavan-Shahraki B, Baikpour M, Mirzay Razaz J, Hosseini M. Diagnostic value of Urinary Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) in detection of pediatric acute kidney injury; a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016;4(11):3875-95.

23. Rahimi-Movagha V, Yousefifard M, Ghelichkhani P, Baikpour M, Tafakhori A, Asady H, et al. Application of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of hemothorax: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Emergency. 2015;4(0):9681-.

24. Nakhjavan-Shahraki B, Yousefifard M, Ataei N, Baikpour M, Ataei F, Bazargani B, et al. Accuracy of cystatin C in prediction of acute kidney injury in children; serum or urine levels: which one works better? A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC nephrology. 2017;18(1):120.

25. Hashemi B, Safari S, Hosseini M, Yousefifard M, Erfani E, Baratloo A, et al. A systematic review of Iranian experiences in seismo-nephrology. Archives of trauma research. 2016;5(2): xx.

26. Nakhjavan-Shahraki B, Yousefifard M, Oraii A, Sarveazad A, Hosseini M. Metaanalysis of neuron specific enolase in predicting pediatric brain injury outcomes. EXCLI Journal. 2017;16:995-1008.

27. Ahmadi S, Yousefifard M. Accuracy of Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network Rules in Prediction of Clinically Important Head Injuries; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2017:6285-300.

28. Hosseini M, Yousefifard M, Ataei N, Oraii A, Razaz JM, Izadi A. The efficacy of probiotics in prevention of urinary tract infection in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Pediatric Urology. 2017; xx: xx.

29. Furlan AD, Pennick V, Bombardier C, van Tulder M. 2009 updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Back Review Group. Spine. 2009;34(18):1929-41. 30. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS medicine. 2009;6(7):e1000097.

31. Borch L, Hagstroem S, Kamperis K, Siggaard C, Rittig S. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation combined with oxybutynin is superior to monotherapy in children with urge incontinence: a randomized, placebo controlled study. The Journal of Urology. 2017; xx: xx.

32. Borch L, Rittig S, Kamperis K, Mahler B, Djurhuus JC, Hagstroem S. No immediate effect on urodynamic parameters during transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in children with overactive bladder and daytime incontinence—A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Neurourology and Urodynamics. 2016;36(7):1788-95.

33. Boudaoud N, Binet A, Line A, Chaouadi D, Jolly C, Fiquet CF, et al. Management of refractory overactive bladder in children by transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation: A controlled study. Journal of pediatric urology. 2015;11(3):138.e1-10.

34. de Paula LIDS, de Oliveira LF, Cruz BP, de Oliveira DM, Miranda LM, de Moraes Ribeiro M, et al. Parasacral transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation (PTENS) once a week for the treatment of overactive bladder in children: A randomized controlled trial. J Pediatr Urol. 2017;13(3):263.e1-263.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2016.11.019. Epub 2016 Dec 21.

35. Hagstroem S, Mahler B, Madsen B, Djurhuus JC, Rittig S. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for refractory daytime urinary urge incontinence. Journal of Urology. 2009;182(4 SUPPL.): 2072-8.

36. Kajbafzadeh A-M, Sharifi-Rad L, Dianat S. Efficacy of Transcutaneous Functional Electrical Stimulation on Urinary Incontinence in Myelomeningocele: Results of a Pilot Study. International Braz J Urol. 2009; 36(5):614-9.

37. Kajbafzadeh A-M, Sharifi-Rad L, Seyedian SSL, Masoumi A. Functional electrical stimulation for management of urinary incontinence in children with myelomeningocele: a randomized trial. ternational. 2014; 30(6): 663-8.

38. Lordêlo P, Teles A, Veiga ML, Correia LC, Barroso Jr U. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in children with overactive bladder: A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Urology. 2010;184(2):683-9.

39. Patidar N, Mittal V, Kumar M, Sureka SK, Arora S, Ansari MS. Transcutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation in pediatric overactive bladder: A preliminary report. Journal of Pediatric Urology. 2015;11(6):351.e1-.e6.

40. Quintiliano F, Veiga ML, Moraes M, Cunha C, De Oliveira LF, Lordelo P, et al. Transcutaneous parasacral electrical stimulation vs oxybutynin for the treatment of overactive bladder in children: A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Urology. 2015;193(5):1749-53.

41. Rashid S, Rabani MW, Khawaja AA, Arshad MS, Sarwar K. Efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) therapy in overactive non-neurogenic neurogenic bladder (Hinman's Syndrome). Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2011;27(3):528-32.

42. Samhan AF, Abd-Elhalim NM, Elnegmy EH, Elnaggav RK, Mahmoud WSE. Efficacy of parasacral transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in treatment of children with overactive bladder. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2012;18(3):343-8.

43. Sillen U, Arwidsson C, Doroszkiewicz M, Antonsson H, Jansson I, Stalklint M, et al. Effects of transcutaneous neuromodulation (TENS) on overactive bladder symptoms in children: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Pediatric Urology. 2014;10(6):1100-5.

44. Bauer SB. Special considerations of the overactive bladder in children. Urology. 2002;60(5):43-8.

45. Digesu GA, Khullar V, Cardozo L, Salvatore S. Overactive bladder symptoms: do we need urodynamics? Neurourology and urodynamics. 2003;22(2):105-8.

46. Vandoninck V, van Balken MR, Finazzi Agrò E, Petta F, Micali F, Heesakkers JP, et al. 2032. Pediatric Surgery In

Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of overactive bladder: urodynamic data. Neurourology and urodynamics. 2003;22(3):227-32.

47. Verghese TS, Middleton LJ, Daniels JP, Deeks JJ, Latthe PM. The impact of urodynamics on treatment and outcomes in women with an overactive bladder: a longitudinal prospective follow-up study. Int Urogynecol J. 2017 Jul 18. doi: 10.1007/s00192-017-3414-4.

48. Rovner ES, Goudelocke CM. Urodynamics in the evaluation of overactive bladder. Current urology reports. 2010;11(5):343-7.

49. Ataei F, Neshandar Asli I, Mohkam M, Hosseinzadeh S, Ataei N, Ghavi A, et al. Diagnostic Value of Technetium-99m-Dimercaptosuccinic Acid Scintigraphy in Prediction of Vesicoureteral Reflux in Children with First-time Febrile Urinary Tract Infection. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2017;5(11):6031-40.

50. Safari S, Najafi I, Hosseini M, Baratloo A, Yousefifard M, Mohammadi H. 20-day trend of serum potassium changes in bam earthquake victims with crush syndrome; a cross-sectional study. Emergency. 2017;5(1): e5. Epub 2017 Jan 8.

51. Barroso Jr U, Lordelo P. Electrical nerve stimulation for overactive bladder in children. Nature Reviews Urology. 2011;8(7):402-7.

52. De Gennaro M, Capitanucci ML, Mosiello G, Zaccara A. Current state of nerve stimulation technique for lower urinary tract dysfunction in children. Journal of Urology. 2011;185(5):1571-7.

53. Gaziev G, Topazio L, Iacovelli V, Asimakopoulos A, Di Santo A, De Nunzio C, et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) efficacy in the treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunctions: a systematic review. Bmc Urology. 2013;13: 61.

54. Zhu DT, Feng XJ, Zhou Y, Wu JX. Therapeutic effects of electrical stimulation on overactive bladder: a meta-analysis. Springerplus.2016;5:2032. **Table-1**: Search strategy in Medline database (via PubMed)

- 1- "Transcutaneous Electric Electrical nerve stimulation" [MeSH] OR "tibial nerve" [MeSH] OR "Percutaneous Electric Electrical nerve stimulation" [tiab] OR "TENS"[tiab] OR "Electrical Stimulation, Transcutaneous"[tiab] OR "Stimulation, Transcutaneous Electrical"[tiab] OR "Transcutaneous Electrical Stimulation"[tiab] OR "Transdermal Electrostimulation"[tiab] OR "Electrostimulation, Transdermal"[tiab] OR "Percutaneous Electrical Electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "Transcutaneous Electrical Electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "Electric Stimulation, Electric"[tiab] Transcutaneous"[tiab] OR "Stimulation. Transcutaneous OR "Transcutaneous Electric Stimulation"[tiab] OR "Transcutaneous Electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "Electrical nerve stimulation, Transcutaneous"[tiab] OR "Stimulation, Transcutaneous Nerve"[tiab] OR "Analgesic Cutaneous Electrostimulation"[tiab] OR "Cutaneous Electrostimulation, Analgesic"[tiab] OR "Electrostimulation, Analgesic Cutaneous"[tiab] OR "Electroanalgesia"[tiab] OR "tibial nerve"[tiab] OR "Tibial Nerve"[tiab] OR "Tibial Nerves"[tiab] OR "Posterior Tibial Nerve"[tiab] OR "Posterior Tibial Nerves"[tiab] OR "tibial electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "percutaneous tibial electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "electrical electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "Electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "Transcutaneous posterior tibial electrical nerve stimulation"[tiab] OR "tibial neuromodulation"[tiab].
- 2- "Urinary Bladder, Overactive"[Mesh] OR "Urinary Bladder, Overactive"[tiab] OR "Overactive Bladder"[tiab] OR "Overactive Urinary Bladder"[tiab] OR "Bladder, Overactive"[tiab] OR "Overactive Detrusor"[tiab] OR "Detrusor, Overactive"[tiab] OR "Overactive Detrusor Function"[tiab] OR "Detrusor Function, Overactive"[tiab] OR "bladder overactivity"[tiab] OR "detrusor overactivity"[tiab] OR "urinary bladder, overactive"[tiab]

3-#1 AND #2

Author, Year, Country	Sample size*	Age [#] (year)	Gender (male, n)	Type of stimulation	Frequency / Sessions duration / intensity / Pulse duration / number of sessions	Number of sessions	Control strategy	Outcome	Follow- up (day)
Borch, 2016, Denmark (32)	12/12	5 to 15	16	TENS	10 Hz / NR / 40 mA / 200 µs	Single session	Sham	MVV; AVV	1
Borch, 2017, Denmark (31)	23 / 23	7.3	29	TENS	10 Hz / NR / 40 mA / 200 µs	Daily for 10 weeks	Oxybutynin	Success rate (VAS score 50 to 99)	70
Boudaoud, 2015, France (33)	9/11	10	10	TENS	10 Hz / 30 min / 10 mA / 200 μs	Twice a week for 12 weeks	Sham	Success rate (6 to 8-point decreases in incontinence score); MVV; Maximum detrusor pressure Incontinence score.	7
da Silvade Paula, 2017, Brazil (34)	8 / 8	3 to 18	6	TENS	10 Hz / 20 min / 10 mA / 700 μs	Weekly for 20 weeks	Sham	Success rate (VAS score 50 to 99); Wet per week.	60
Hagstroem, 2009, Denmark (35)	12 / 13	5 to 14	10	TENS	10 Hz / 120 min / 40 mA / 200 μs	Daily for 4 weeks	Sham	Success rate (more than 50% decreases in incontinence score); Incontinence score; Wet per week; Voiding frequency; MVV; AVV.	28
Kajbafzadeh, 2009, Iran (36)	10 / 19	3 to 16	12	Interferential TENS	1 to 20 Hz / 20 min / 0 to 50 mA / 250 µs	3 times per week for 6 weeks	Sham	MVV; Maximal detrusor pressure; Voiding frequency; Wet per week.	180
Kajbafzadeh, 2014, Iran (37)	15 / 15	6.7	18	Functional electrical stimulation	40 Hz / 15 min / 25 to 65 / 250 μs	3 times per week for 5 weeks	Sham	MVV; Maximal detrusor pressure; Voiding frequency; Wet per week; Incontinence score.	180
Lordêlo, 2010, Brazil (38)	16/21	4 to 12	12	TENS	10 Hz / 20 min / MLT / 700 μs	3 times per week for 7 weeks	Sham	Success rate (full cure based on VAS); MVV; AVV; Voiding frequency.	486

Table-2: Characteristics of included studies

Patidar, 2015, India (39)	16/21	8.02	16	TENS	20 Hz / 30 min / 0 to 10 mA / 200 µs	Weekly sessions for 12 weeks	Sham	Success rate (full continence or mild severity in incontinence score); MVV; AVV; Voiding frequency	84
Quintiliano, 2015, Brazil (40)	15 / 13	4 to 17	9	TENS	10 Hz / 20 min / MLT/ 700 μs	3 times per week for 7 weeks	oxybutynin	Success rate (full cure base on VAS); MVV; AVV; Voiding frequency; Incontinence score.	180
Rashid, 2011, Pakistan (41)	14 / 14	1 to 12	15	TENS	35 Hz / 20 min / MLT/ 200 μs	Daily for 12 weeks	α- Adrenergic Blocker and imipramine	Success rate (one grade improvement in incontinence score); Voiding frequency.	84
Samhan, 2012, Egypt (42)	20 / 20	5 to 10	14	TENS	10 Hz / 20 min / MLT/ 700 μs	3 times per week for 8 weeks	Sham	Voiding frequency.	60
Sillén, 2014, Sweden (43)	32 / 30	8	35	TENS	10 Hz / 20 min / MLT/ NR	Twice Daily for 12 weeks	Behavioural therapy	Success rate (Improvement in incontinence episodes).	84

TENS: Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; MVV: maximum voided volume; AVV: average voided volume; VAS: visual analogue scale.