

An Exploratory Study of Predictors of Self-Care Behavior in Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes based on Social Cognitive Theory

Elham Molavi Vardanjani¹, Zohre Fathian Dastgerdi², Ashraf Aminorroaya³, Akbar Hassanzadeh⁴, *Ahmadali Eslami⁵

¹MSc Student in Health Education. Department of Health Education and Health Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ²Ph.D. in Health Education and Promotion. Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ³Professor of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁴Department of Biostatistics, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁵Associate Professor, Head of Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

Abstract

Background: Diabetes Mellitus is the fourth leading cause of death worldwide, bringing enormous costs to communities and the health care system. Self-care behavior has a major influence on type 1 diabetes (T1D) health outcomes, and with successful management, children and adolescents with T1D can lead long and healthy lives. This study aimed to investigate the predictors of self-care behavior in adolescents with T1D based on social cognitive theory (SCT).

Materials and Methods: This study is a descriptive-analytical cross-sectional study in adolescents with diabetes mellitus type 1. A self-administered questionnaire based on SCT and self-care behavior was completed by 200 girls and boys, aged between 14 and 19 years old in Isfahan Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Iran. The data were analyzed by descriptive statistics and hierarchical multiple regression analysis in SPSS software version 23.0.

Results: The mean age of the participants was $(16.61\pm2.67 \text{ years})$. The majority of participants were woman (n=123) and single (n=152). The results of regression analysis showed that SCT constructs (self-efficacy, outcome expectations and self-regulation) were able to explain self-care behavior significantly (R²=0.4, p<0.001), and the self-regulation was the strongest predictor of self-care behavior (β =0.5, p <0.001).

Conclusion: Considering the explanation of self-care behavior by SCT constructs in the present study, and the effective role of self-regulation in explaining self-care behavior in adolescents with diabetes mellitus type 1, it is recommended to consider strategies for improving the self-regulation in these adolescents in future interventions.

Key Words: Adolescents, Diabetes Mellitus Type 1, Self-Care, Social Cognitive Theory.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Molavi Vardanjani E, Fathian Dastgerdi Z, Aminorroaya A, Hassanzadeh A, Eslami A. An Exploratory Study of Predictors of Self-Care Behavior in Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes based on Social Cognitive Theory. Int J Pediatr 2020; 8(6): 11505-512. DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2019.43314.3615**

Received date: Aug.15, 2019; Accepted date: Feb.12, 2020

^{*}Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ahmadali Eslami, Head of Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. Fax: 03136682509.

Email: eslamiaa@gmail.com

1- INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus is an endocrine disease characterized by malfunction in glucose metabolism and impairments in the production or utilization of insulin (1). The prevalence of diabetes is about 6.4% worldwide, and it is expected that 366 million individuals will be affected by diabetes by 2030 (2). Five to ten percent of patients with diabetes mellitus are categorized as Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) (3). About 40% of patients with T1DM are under 20 years of age and the most common age of onset is puberty age. Patients with T1DM experience drastic changes in their lifestyle so that their daily requirement for external insulin injection, glycemic control, consideration of physical activity and daily nutrition will increase (4, 5). Although diabetes is one of the most costly diseases, a successful management can delay or prevent its complications (2).

In patients with diabetes, more than 95% of the treatment process is performed by the patient and the treatment team has little control over the patient through the intervals between visits (7). Cognition and awareness of patients with diabetes on proper nutrition principles and strict adherence to treatment and health care patterns play an important role in the regulating of their metabolism and the metabolic control, and can prevent shortcomplications and delay term the progression of long-term complications of diabetes (7). One of the best approaches in this regard is to promote self-care behavior patients with diabetes. Self-care in increases the effective coping ability of patients in dealing with health problems and increases the possibility of making practical health-related decisions and commitment to participate in the care and treatment of the long-term diseases, leading to leading to improvement in patient general health and his active participation in the care process (8). Selfcare in diabetes includes proper and timely injection of insulin, diet adherence, proper physical activity, blood glucose testing, and improved quality of life (9). Since the ultimate goal in patients with diabetes is to increase their ability of self-care, using a model as a framework to identify the factors involved in patient's poor self-care designing self-care education and programs seems necessary (10). Most interventions and studies emphasized on empowering and promoting self-efficacy (SE), self-regulation (SR), and peers support in self-care behaviors of patients with diabetes (7). One of the most effective theories in promoting self-care in patients with diabetes is Bandura Social Cognitive Theory, which emphasizes the role of perceived self-efficacy associated with self-regulation and outcome expectancies (OE), and it can play an important role in promoting behaviors that lead to health in patients (11, 12).

Given the high prevalence of T1DM and the low rate of self-care behavior (SCB) in adolescents (13), and the importance of the disease impact on the quality of life and the future of adolescents, more widespread studies are needed to identify the factors associated with low self-care behavior in adolescents (8, 14). This study which is in line with the requirements of Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center (IEMRC) on determining the factors associated with poor self-care in adolescents, aimed to investigate the self-care behavior predictors of in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes based on social cognitive theory (SCT).

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study design and population

The present study is a descriptiveanalytical cross-sectional study conducted on adolescents in Isfahan, Iran. After necessary coordination with the authorities of diabetes in Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center (IEMRC), all adolescents with T1DM who were routinely referred to this center were contacted to complete the questionnaires from Jan to Dec 2018. Inclusion criteria were age group of 10-19 years and confirmation of the disease by physician of IEMRC, and exclusion criteria were unwillingness to cooperate in completing the questionnaire. Participants were assured that their information is used exclusively for research purposes and remains confidential with the research team.

2-2. Measuring tools

To assess the self-care behaviors in patients with diabetes, a Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities questionnaire (SDSCA) developed by Toobert and Glasgow and for evaluation of SCT construct, the self-administered questionnaire based on SCT constructs and the Management Self-Efficacy Scale (DMSES) were used (15-17). Regarding the age group of adolescents participating in the present study, some of the questions in these questionnaires were changed according to the opinion of experts and professors of the IEMRC and faculty of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (Health School). To assess the validity and reliability and cross-cultural adaptation of the questionnaires, the comments of seven faculty members including two endocrinologists and five health specialists were used; all the items were assessed based on the necessity, importance and relevance. According to the Lawshe Table, items with a content-validity ratio (CVR) lower than 0.62 and a CVR lower than 79% were omitted. The remaining items were assessed for the impact of maximum, minimum, skewness, corrected item-total correlations (CITCs), and finally for assessment of the reliability, Cronbach's alpha in a pilot study of 30 adolescents was measured, the reliability, item reliability range, and the number of remaining items in each construct were reported in Table.1.

Table-1: Cronbach's alpha and CITC range for SCT constructs and SCB.

| SCT Constructs (Number) | Total Cronbach's α | Cronbach's α range for items | CITC range |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Self-efficacy (19) | 0.87 | 0.85 - 0.87 | 0.38 - 0.62 |
| Outcome expectations (20) | 0.68 | 0.65 - 0.68 | 0.25 - 0.39 |
| Self-regulation (22) | 0.87 | 0.86 - 0.87 | 0.35 - 0.59 |
| Social support (18) | 0.90 | 0.89 - 0.90 | 0.42 - 0.70 |
| Self-care behavior (15) | 0.74 | 0.69 - 0.74 | 0.37 - 0.56 |

SCB: self-care behavior, SCT: social cognitive theory, CITC: corrected item-total correlation.

2-3. Ethical considerations

Before completing the questionnaire, the study was registered in Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20190113042341N1). For ethical reasons, a cover letter was attached to each questionnaire, emphasizing that participants' responses were anonymous and would remain confidential. Participation was voluntary and participants had the opportunity to review the study questionnaire before indicating whether they wanted to participate. All adolescents gave written informed consent from their parents to participate in the study. Also, consent to publish from adolescents was not applicable to our manuscript, since we did not disclose any of the personal details of the teens in the manuscript, but the publication license was issued from Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

2-4. Data Analyses

After completing the questionnaires, the gathered data were analyzed using SPSS software version 23.0, and descriptive statistical tests and analytical statistical techniques were used to determine relationship between scores of self-care behavior with underlying variables, the independent t-test (gender), Pearson correlation coefficient (duration of disease). and Spearman correlation coefficient (educational level), as well as

linear and hierarchical regression analysis was used to determine the explanatory value of self-care behavior by each one of the constructs. P-value less than 0.05 was statistically significant.

3- RESULTS

A total of 200 adolescents with diabetes aged 10-19 years participated in this study (mean age: 16.61 ± 2.76). Baseline characteristics of adolescents including gender, marital status, and educational level, hereditary background for the disease, disease complications, physical health status and economic status of participants is shown in **Table.2**.

| Variables | | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender | Female | 123 | 61.5 |
| | Male | 77 | 38.5 |
| Manifel states | Single | 152 | 76 |
| Maritar status | Married | 48 | 24 |
| | Elementary | 17 | 8.5 |
| | First grade of high school | 27 | 13.5 |
| Educational level | Second grade of high school | 86 | 43 |
| | Bachelor degree | 59 | 29.5 |
| | Others | 11 | 5.5 |
| Hereditary | Positive | 88 | 44 |
| background disease | Negative | 112 | 56 |
| Disease | Yes | 43 | 21.5 |
| complications | No | 157 | 78.5 |
| | Poor | 4 | 2 |
| Physical health | Medium | 72 | 35 |
| status | Good | 100 | 51 |
| | Excellent | 24 | 12 |
| | Poor | 26 | 13 |
| Economic | Medium | 100 | 50 |
| status | Good | 69 | 34.5 |
| | Excellent | 5 | 2.5 |

Table-2: Frequency of the baseline characteristics of adolescents with Diabetes Mellitus type 1.

Each of the SCT variables used linear regression analysis to determine the predictive value of self-care behavior. The ANOVA test showed that the predicted model using SCT variables significantly explained self-care behavior ($R^2=0.4$, p<0.001), and all variables were

significant in explaining self-care behavior in adolescents except social support construct (**Table. 3**). Among all of the variables self-regulation plays a more effective and stronger role in explaining self-care behavior (β =0.5, p <0.001).

| Prediction variables | В | SE | Beta | P-value | Total R ² |
|----------------------|------|------|------|---------|----------------------|
| Self-efficacy | .139 | .051 | .180 | .007 | |
| Outcome expectancies | .136 | .058 | .135 | .020 | 0.4 |
| Self- regulatory | .320 | .052 | .477 | .000 | 0.4 |
| Social support | .005 | .042 | .008 | .905 | |

Table-3: Indicators of Linear Regression Analysis Based on the Variables of SCT Model Prediction.

SCT: social cognitive theory.

Hierarchical regression analysis was used to investigate the role and confounding effect of demographic factors. SCT variables in the first step and baseline characteristics were entered in the second step in explaining self-care behavior. The results showed that although the inclusion of baseline characteristics had a significant effect on the model explanation (p =0.01) the effect was negligible ($\Delta R^2 = 0.06$, Δ F=3.5) (**Table.4**).

Table-4: Hierarchical Regression results for predicting self-care behavior by SCT Constructs and demographic characteristics.

| Model | R ² | Adj R ² | ΔR^2 | F Change | P-value |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| Step1 | .402 | .390 | .402 | 32.782 | 0.00 |
| Step 2 | .463 | .434 | .061 | 3.550 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | |

Step1: SCT construct, Step 2: SCT and demographic variables.

4- DISCUSSION

This study aimed to investigate the explanatory value of self-care behaviors by each of the social cognitive theory constructs in adolescents with T1DM. Results showed that SCT with the emphasis on variables of outcome expectancy, self- regulation, self -efficacy and social support can explain significantly the self-care behavior in adolescents with T1DM, and selfregulation was the strongest predictor of SCB. As self-regulation involves the coordination of behaviors with emotion and cognition, it may draw from teens' broader emotion and cognitive regulation abilities (20). Self-regulation construct and application of strategies for success in achieving the goals resulting from adherence to self-care behaviors are very helpful. According to the findings of the data analysis, self-regulation was more effective and the strongest explanatory variable for self-care behavior and management of diabetes in our study, and it can be claimed that with increasing selfregulation factor, self-care behaviors in patients with diabetes will increase. Research examining diabetes management youths self-regulation and in has emphasized the contributions of selfregulation to individual processes such as problem-solving, goal setting, and affects regulation (21, 22). The results of Berg et al.'s study showed that in addition to individual differences in self-regulation, day-to-day fluctuations in self-regulation failures independently predicted daily adherence. Thus, even those with good self-regulation abilities may experience fluctuations in their ability to regulate blood glucose on a daily basis (23). Also, the results of the study by Wiebe et al. indicated that adolescents displayed higher daily adherence and lower blood glucose

levels on days when they had higher-thantheir-average levels of daily goal planning and daily goal effort (24). However, the results of the present study are consistent with Bandura's outlook that self-regulation is associated with health promotion (25), and also consistent with the study by Stamp et al. (12). Self-efficacy was another meaningful predictor of SCB in our study. In an investigation by Buck et al. conducted on 628 individuals, a observed significant correlation was between self-efficacy and self-care behaviors (p<0.001) (18). In a study by Zhou et al. (n=249), there was a direct association between self-efficacy and physical activity level with self-care behavior (p<0.010, r=0.18) (19).

The results of Tang et al. (26), and Skinner et al. (27) are not consistent with the results of our study. Conducted on social support and self-care in patients with diabetes, a significant positive association was shown between these two variables.

The differences between two studies may be resulted due to our description of social support. Because in our study we just focused on family as a social support and it is one of our limitations. We should have considered other influential groups such as peer group as a social support. According to the results of current study, there was no significant association between gender and self-care behavior. In a study by Parham et al. (2013), self-care behaviors were not significantly different between men and women with diabetes (28).

The study by Vosoghi et al. (2012), in patients with diabetes, it was shown that the mean ability of self-care in women was higher than men, but this difference was not statistically significant (29), which is in line with the present study. According to the result in our study, there was a significant association between education level and self-care behavior. The studies by Sloan et al. (30), and Parham et al. (28), showed that education level was a predictor of self-care behavior in patients with diabetes, which is consistent with the present study.

5- CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that self-regulation in adolescents with Type 1 diabetes is the strongest predictor of selfcare behavior and it can be claimed that with increasing self-regulation factor, selfcare behaviors in patients with diabetes will increase. Therefore, it is strongly suggested to design and implement intervention based on self-regulation theory and also there should be more focus on the creative strategies to promote SR in adolescents with TIDM.

6- CONTRIBUTORS

Islami A, Fathian Z, Molavi E were involved in the design and writing of the protocols original of the study. Hasanzadeh A, Molavi E and Fathiyan Z analyzed the data. Islami A, Fathian Z, Amin al-RoayaA and Molavi E were involved in the design and construction of the questionnaire. Amin al-RoayaA and Molavi E carried out the study. Molavi E has studied previous studies and wroe the first draft of the article, and the first draft of the article was subsequently reviewed by Islami A, and Fathian Z.

7- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

8- ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This article is part of the Master's thesis (Code of Ethics Committee: IR.MUI.REC.1396.3.868). This study was supported by Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Iran. IUMS did not play a role in designing, collecting, analyzing or interpreting data and writing articles. The authors want to thank all the adolescents with diabetes, the personnel and the director of the Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center in Isfahan for their participation in the project.

9- REFERENCES

1. Wakasaki H, Matsumoto M, Tamaki S, Miyata K, Yamamoto S, Minaga T, et al. Resistance to thyroid hormone complicated with type 2 diabetes and cardiomyopathy in a patient with a TR β mutation. Internal Medicine. 2016;55(22):3295-99.

2. Shojaei-Shad F, Jahantigh-Haghighi M, Mansouri A, Jahantigh-Haghighi M. The effect of Rhubarb stem extract on blood pressure and weight of type 2 diabetic patients. Medical Science. 2019;23(96):159-62.

3. Rezasefat Balesbaneh A, Mirhaghjou N, Jafsri Asl M, Kohmanaee S, Kazemnejad Leili E, Monfared A. Correlation between self-care and self-efficacy in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Holistic Nursing And Midwifery. 2014;24(2):18-24.

4. Zaccardi F, Webb DR, Yates T, Davies MJ. Pathophysiology of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 90-year perspective. Postgraduate medical journal. 2016;92(1084):63-9.

5. Gheini S, Hosseini M, Rezaei M. Association between HbA1C value at the time of diagnosis and response rate to treatment in children with type I diabetes mellitus. 2014.

6. Myers J, de Silva CGdS, Doom R, Fonda H, Chan K, Kamil-Rosenberg S, et al. Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Health Care Costs in Diabetes: The Veterans Exercise Testing Study. The American journal of medicine. 2019.

7. Petriček G, Vinter-Repalust N, Katić M. Obstacles which patients with type 2 diabetes meet while adhering to the therapeutic prescriptions and advices. Qualia javnog zdravstva: Medicinska naklada; 2013.

8. Smith SM, Paul G, Kelly A, Whitford DL, O'Shea E, O'Dowd T. Peer support for patients with type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial. Bmj. 2011;342:d715.

9. Zareipour M, Ghojogh MG, Mahdi-Akhgar M, Alinejad M, Akbari S. The quality of life in relationship with glycemic control in people with type 2 diabetes. J Community Health Res. 2017;6(3):141e9. 10. Pibernik-Okanovic M, Prasek M, Poljicanin-Filipovic T, Pavlic-Renar I, Metelko Z. Effects of an empowerment-based psychosocial intervention on quality of life and metabolic control in type 2 diabetic patients. Patient education and counseling. 2004;52(2):193-9.

11. Sniehotta FF. Towards a theory of intentional behaviour change: Plans, planning, and self-regulation. British journal of health psychology. 2009;14(2):261-73.

12. Stamp KD, Dunbar SB, Clark PC, Reilly CM, Gary RA, Higgins M, et al. Family partner intervention influences self-care confidence and treatment self-regulation in patients with heart failure. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2016;15(5):317-27.

13. Shirazi M, Anoosheh M, Rajab A. The effect of self care program education by group discussion method on self concept in diabetic adolescent girls reffered to Iranian Diabetes Society. 2011.

14. Dale JR, Williams S, Bowyer V. What is the effect of peer support on diabetes outcomes in adults? A systematic review. Diabetic Medicine. 2012;29(11):1361-77.

15. Toobert DJ, Hampson SE, Glasgow RE. The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure: results from 7 studies and a revised scale. Diabetes care. 2000;23(7):943-50.

16. Shen H, Edwards H, Courtney M, McDowell J, Wu M. Peer-led diabetes self-management programme for community-dwelling older people in China: study protocol for a quasi-experimental design. Journal of advanced nursing. 2012;68(12):2766-77.

17. Borhaninejad V, Shati M, Bhalla D, Iranpour A, Fadayevatan R. A populationbased survey to determine association of perceived social support and self-efficacy with self-care among elderly with diabetes mellitus (Kerman City, Iran). The International Journal of Aging and Human Development. 2017;85(4):504-17.

18. Buck HG, Dickson VV, Fida R, Riegel B, D'Agostino F, Alvaro R, et al. Predictors of hospitalization and quality of life in heart failure: A model of comorbidity, selfefficacy and self-care. International journal of nursing studies. 2015;52(11):1714-22.

19. Zhou G, Wang D, Knoll N, Schwarzer R. Planning mediates between self-efficacy and physical activity among motivated young adults. Journal of Physical Activity and Health. 2016;13(1):87-93.

20. Tangney JP, Baumeister RF, Boone AL. High self-control predicts good adjustment, less pathology, better grades, and interpersonal success. Journal of personality. 2004;72(2):271-324.

21. Berg CA, Butner JE, Turner SL, Lansing AH, King P, Wiebe DJ. Adolescents', mothers', and fathers' reports of adherence across adolescence and their relation to HbA1c to-day fluctuations in goal planning and type 1 diabetes management. Health Psychology. 2018;37(7):638-46.

25. Bandura A. Cultivate self-efficacy for personal and organizational effectiveness. Handbook of principles of organization behavior. 2000;2:0011-21.

26. Tang TS, Brown MB, Funnell MM, Anderson RM. Social support, quality of life, and self-care behaviors among African Americans with type 2 diabetes. The Diabetes Educator. 2008;34(2):266-76.

27. Skinner TC, John M, Hampson SE. Social support and personal models of diabetes as predictors of self-care and well-being: a

and daily blood glucose. Journal of behavioral medicine. 2016;39(6):1009-19.

22. Hughes AE, Berg CA, Wiebe DJ. Emotional processing and self-control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2012;37(8):925-34.

23. Berg CA, Wiebe DJ, Suchy Y, Hughes AE, Anderson JH, Godbey EI, et al. Individual differences and day-to-day fluctuations in perceived self-regulation associated with daily adherence in late adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2014;39(9):1038-48.

24. Wiebe DJ, Baker AC, Suchy Y, Stump TK, Berg CA. Individual differences and day-

longitudinal study of adolescents with diabetes. Journal of Pediatric psychology. 2000;25(4):257-67.

28. Parham M, Riahin A, Jandaghi M, Darivandpour A. Self care behaviors of diabetic patients in Qom. Qom University Of Medical Sciences Journal.2013; 6(4): 81-7.

29. Vosoghi KN, Abootalebi DG, Farahani B, Mohammadnezhad E, Sajjadi A. The study of self-care agency in patients with diabetes (Ardabil). 2012.

30. Sloan FA, Padrón NA, Platt AC. Preferences, beliefs, and self-management of diabetes. Health services research. 2009;44(3):1068-87.