

## Histamine H<sub>1</sub> Receptor Antagonists in Cancer: Mechanisms, Clinical Evidence, and Therapeutic Potential

Mohammad Mahdi Vahdati<sup>1</sup>, \* Nasrin Moazzen<sup>2</sup>, Amir Amirabadi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Student Research Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Allergy Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

### Abstract

Histamine signaling through the histamine H<sub>1</sub> receptor (H<sub>1</sub>R) plays a significant role in cancer biology. H<sub>1</sub>R is often overexpressed various malignancies and contributes to proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis and evasion of the immune system. Given the widespread use of H<sub>1</sub> antihistamines in the treatment of allergic diseases, there is a growing interest in incorporating these agents as anticancer therapy. Preclinical studies have demonstrated a role for H<sub>1</sub>- antagonists in apoptosis, pyroptosis, lysosomal destabilization, and reversal of multidrug resistance, as well as in enhancing chemotherapy and immunotherapy efficacy. Specific agents such as loratadine, desloratadine, and cyproheptadine have demonstrated survival benefits in breast, ovarian, lung, and hepatocellular cancers but the evidence is inconsistent. This inconsistency could be attributed to dosing, drug class, target tumor and study design. First-generation antihistamines show strong mechanistic activity but limited tolerability. In contrast second- and third-generation drugs are safer but require higher doses than usual therapeutic ranges. Although H<sub>1</sub> antihistamines have demonstrated several mechanisms that can be utilized for therapeutic purposes in oncology, sufficient randomized clinical trials and clinical evidence have yet to emerge. Crucial questions for clinical application such as defining optimal agents, dosing strategies, and synergistic combinations with chemotherapy or immunotherapy, are not yet fully answered.

**Key Words:** Antihistamines, Cancer therapy, Drug repurposing, Histamine H<sub>1</sub> receptor.

\* Please cite this article as: Vahdati M.M, Moazzen N, Amirabadi A. Histamine H<sub>1</sub> Receptor Antagonists in Cancer: Mechanisms, Clinical Evidence, and Therapeutic Potential. J Ped Perspect 2025; 13 (12):19827-19834. DOI: 10.22038/jpp.2025.91948.5605

### \*Corresponding Author:

Nasrin Moazzen; Allergy Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Tel: +9899151034644; E-mail: N.moazzen@gmail.com

## 1- INTRODUCTION

Histamine and its receptors, particularly the histamine H<sub>1</sub> receptor (H<sub>1</sub>R), have been detected in cancer progression. Clinical studies indicate that not only is H<sub>1</sub>R expressed in a variety of malignancies such as breast, ovarian, pancreatic, and liver cancers, but it also appears to correlate with poorer prognosis and reduced survival (1-4). These results have sparked interest in H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines as potential novel therapies in oncology (5).

Blocking H<sub>1</sub>R has been reported to have antitumor properties in several experimental studies. Preclinical studies suggest that drugs such as Loratadine and Astemizole can destabilize lysosomes, reverse multidrug resistance, and sensitize tumors to chemotherapy (5). Loratadine has also been shown to induce apoptosis and pyroptosis in lung cancer cells (6). In hepatocellular carcinoma, the simultaneous use of Cyproheptadine with Sorafenib has significantly increased survival (7). These findings suggest the possibility that H<sub>1</sub>-antagonists may act not only on tumor cells but also on the tumor microenvironment, inducing angiogenesis and immune responses (8, 9).

Clinical evidence, however, remains inconsistent. Some large cohort studies suggest that the use of Loratadine and Desloratadine after cancer diagnosis is related to improved survival in breast, ovarian, and lung cancers (1, 3), and Cyproheptadine use has been associated with favorable outcomes in hepatocellular carcinoma (7). Contrary to these studies, others report inconsistent results, depending on tumor type and the specific H<sub>1</sub>-antagonist used (4). Differences in study design, exposure definitions, and adjustments for confounding factors further contribute to heterogeneity. For instance, Cetirizine appears to improve immunotherapy efficacy in melanoma (8),

though such effects were not consistently observed in other studies (4).

Despite promising preclinical data and suggestive biological pathways, the clinical evidence remains incomplete. Therefore, in this review we seek to summarize mechanistic insights, evaluate both preclinical and clinical evidence, discuss current challenges, and consider how H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines might eventually be incorporated into clinical practical guidelines.

### 2-1. H<sub>1</sub> Receptors and Cancer Biology

H<sub>1</sub>R is a G-protein-coupled receptor traditionally recognized for its role in allergic responses and inflammation. Recent evidence, however, points to a significant role in cancer biology. Upon activation, H<sub>1</sub>R triggers multiple signaling cascades that can promote tumor progression, both through direct effects on cancer cells and by modulating the tumor microenvironment (TME) (10, 11).

As shown in Table 1, H<sub>1</sub>R expression varies significantly across different cancer types, with consistent upregulation observed in several malignancies.

#### 2-1-2. Molecular Mechanisms of H<sub>1</sub>R in Tumor Growth

Activation of H<sub>1</sub>R causes tumor growth via cellular mechanisms that depend on proliferation, survival, and invasion. For instance, in hepatocellular carcinoma, H<sub>1</sub>R signaling drives cell cycle progression through the cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase A (cAMP-PKA) pathway. This not only suppresses apoptosis and increases pro-survival signaling but also facilitates invasive behaviors, including lamellipodia formation and increased matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP2) production, thereby promoting tumor cell invasion (12).

In oral squamous cell carcinoma, H<sub>1</sub>R has been implicated in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). Specifically, a cyclic HRH1/ADAM9/Snail axis promotes EMT and metastasis. Interestingly, compensatory activation of STAT3 signaling can limit the efficacy of H<sub>1</sub>R blockade alone, whereas combined inhibition of H<sub>1</sub>R and STAT3 appears to produce synergistic antitumor effects, highlighting the importance of pathway crosstalk in H<sub>1</sub>R-driven tumor progression (13).

Furthermore, H<sub>1</sub>R activates several canonical signaling modules-including protein kinase C, MAPK/ERK, and NF-κB pathways. Although the downstream effects vary depending on cellular context, they consistently contribute to tumor proliferation, invasion, and remodeling of the microenvironment (9, 15). Altogether, these findings indicate that H<sub>1</sub>R functions as a critical promoter of tumor progression

through multiple proliferative and survival pathways, posing as a potential therapeutic target across various malignancies.

H<sub>1</sub>R also appears to promote angiogenesis. In endothelial cells, H<sub>1</sub>R activates the PKC–ERK1/2 cascade, upregulating c-Fos/AP-1 and cyclin D1 to stimulate proliferation and neovascular growth (16). Additionally, it improves vascular remodeling in the tumor microenvironment by increasing VEGF and MMP release (11, 17). These processes integrate with tumor metabolic reprogramming to further support angiogenesis.

Given this preclinical evidence of H<sub>1</sub>R's pro-angiogenic and tumor-promoting roles, investigating H<sub>1</sub>R antagonists as potential anticancer agents is a logical next step (12). This leads us to examine retrospective and epidemiologic studies that assess the impact of H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines on tumor growth and patient survival, providing clinical context to the mechanistic findings.

**Table-1.** Cancer Type-Specific H<sub>1</sub>R Expression.

Cancer Type	Expression Pattern	Clinical Correlation
<b>Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)</b>	Frequently upregulated in tumor cells	Associated with shorter recurrence-free and overall survival (12)
<b>Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC)</b>	Elevated in tumor cells with regional spread	Correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis (13)
<b>Glioblastoma (GBM)</b>	Increased in tumors and TME	Associated with worse overall and progression-free survival (11)
<b>Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC)</b>	Expressed in cancer cells and TME	Negatively correlates with MHC-I and CD8+ T cell infiltration (14)

## 2-2. H<sub>1</sub>R Antagonist Drugs and Their Effects on Tumor Growth

Several H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines have been studied for their anticancer properties. Large retrospective and epidemiologic analyses have identified commonly studied agents and their signaling impacts in cancer cohorts. In a cohort study by Olsson et al., Cetirizine, Clemastine, Desloratadine, Ebastine, Fexofenadine,

and Loratadine, with peri-diagnostic use of Desloratadine and Ebastine, showed a favorable survival rate in breast cancer, while outcomes for other drugs indicated mixed results (18). Further studies have focused particularly on Loratadine and Desloratadine and also note Cetirizine, Fexofenadine, Terfenadine and Diphenhydramine in preclinical settings (18, 19). These reports are summarized in Table 2.

**Table-2.** Overview of first-, second-, and third-generation H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines evaluated for anticancer activity, highlighting key preclinical mechanisms (e.g., apoptosis induction, lysosomal disruption, receptor blockade) and available clinical or epidemiologic signals related to cancer outcomes.

Drug	Key preclinical mechanism(s)	Clinical/epidemiologic signal
<b>Cetirizine</b>	Mast-cell stabilization, H <sub>1</sub> blockade (in vitro)	Included in national cohort analyses; mixed signals across tumor types (18, 20)
<b>Loratadine</b>	Induces apoptosis/pyroptosis via PPAR $\gamma$ / caspase-8 / GSDMD (in vitro & in vivo)	Associated with improved survival in several cancer cohorts and dose-dependent signal in lung cancer analyses (6, 19)
<b>Desloratadine</b>	H <sub>1</sub> blockade (active metabolite family)	Positive survival association in breast-cancer registry analysis (18)
<b>Diphenhydramine (DPH)</b>	Inhibits Hv1 proton channels; downregulates STAT3→MCL-1; proapoptotic in melanoma models (in vitro & in vivo)	Preclinical antitumor efficacy; repurposing interest (10, 21)
<b>Terfenadine</b>	Induces apoptosis via caspase-8/Bid; suppressed tumor growth in xenografts (in vitro & in vivo)	Strong preclinical cytotoxicity but clinical cardiotoxicity historically limits use; repurposing discussed in reviews (12, 22)
<b>Fexofenadine</b>	Studied epidemiologically; limited positive associations reported	No consistent survival signal in some analyses (18)

Population-based studies and small clinical cohorts suggest that H<sub>1</sub>-antagonist use may be associated with improved survival outcomes. In Danish registry data, Loratadine use significantly lowered all-cause mortality among patients with non-localized NSCLC and other cancers. Astemizole showed a similar effect, while Ebastine demonstrated a weaker but consistent trend. Interestingly, the benefit appeared most prominent in patients receiving concurrent chemotherapy, supporting preclinical findings of chemosensitization (5). In ovarian cancer, the use of cationic amphiphilic (CAD) antihistamines was associated with reduced cancer-related mortality (HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.40–0.99) compared with non-CAD users, with some evidence of a dose-response relationship. Complementary in vitro studies further demonstrated consistent, dose-dependent cytotoxicity across ovarian cancer cell lines treated with CAD, however not with non-CAD

antihistamines (23). In hepatocellular carcinoma, a hospital-based cohort showed that simultaneous cyproheptadine and sorafenib nearly doubled median overall survival (11.0 vs. 4.8 months) and quadrupled progression-free survival (7.5 vs. 1.7 months), with multivariate analysis confirming significantly reduced risks of mortality (HR=0.24) and progression (HR=0.18) (7).

### 2-2-1. Mechanisms of H<sub>1</sub> Blockade

Blocking HRH<sub>1</sub> can affect both tumor cells and the tumor microenvironment through receptor-related and receptor-unrelated mechanisms. H<sub>1</sub>R is frequently upregulated in several cancers and contributes to tumor proliferation, migration, and survival by activating intracellular signaling pathways such as cAMP–PKA, as well as regulating MMPs and cell-cycle progression. Pharmacologic H<sub>1</sub>R blockade has been shown to reverse these tumorigenic effects in experimental

models (12). In hepatocellular carcinoma, H<sub>1</sub>R overexpression promoted cell growth, lamellipodia formation, MMP-2 production, cell-cycle progression, and apoptosis suppression; inhibition with Terfenadine reduced tumor growth in xenograft models (12). In both preclinical and clinical contexts, CAD drugs with H<sub>1</sub>-antagonist activity sensitized tumor cells to chemotherapy and breached multidrug resistance. Sub-micromolar concentrations of Loratadine, Astemizole, and Ebastine enhanced chemotherapy efficacy in NSCLC, breast, and prostate cancer, reinforcing the notion that H<sub>1</sub>R antagonists can act synergistically with cytotoxic therapies to overcome resistance mechanisms (5).

Molecular mechanisms also include suppression of the STAT3–MCL-1 survival axis. Diphenhydramine attenuated phospho-STAT3 (Tyr705), downregulated anti-apoptotic MCL-1, and induced apoptosis in melanoma cells, thereby impeding B16-F10 tumor growth in mice (10).

H<sub>1</sub> inhibition has also been shown to affect ion transport, as Diphenhydramine inhibited Hv1 proton channel currents in leukemic Jurkat cells, causing intracellular acidification and apoptosis through an H<sub>1</sub>-independent pathway (21). Similarly, Terfenadine activated the caspase-8/Bid cascade, leading to downstream caspase-3 and PARP cleavage in oral cancer cells (22). Furthermore, Loratadine provided a distinct mechanism in lung cancer, where it upregulated PPAR $\gamma$ , promoted gasdermin D transcription, and enhanced caspase-8 activation, inducing apoptosis and pyroptosis dose-dependently (6).

Beyond direct tumor cell effects, H<sub>1</sub> antagonists can relieve histamine-mediated immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment, specifically by reversing CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell inhibition, suggesting potential synergy with checkpoint blockade immunotherapies (15, 24). Overall, these studies highlight the

diverse mechanisms through which H<sub>1</sub> antagonists apply antitumor activity, spanning direct cytotoxicity, apoptosis, metabolic disruption, and immune modulation (6, 10, 12, 15, 21, 22, 24).

### 2-2-2. Dosing Considerations and Safety

Clinical dosing of H<sub>1</sub> antagonists is not established in randomized trials for oncological use, and safety remains guided by standard antihistamine pharmacology. First-generation agents such as Diphenhydramine have broad CNS and off-label effects, while second- and third-generation drugs (Cetirizine, Loratadine, Desloratadine, Fexofenadine) affect more peripherally and are better tolerated, making them more suitable for chronic adjunctive use in oncology (25). Terfenadine shows strong preclinical antitumor activity but its cardiotoxicity (QT prolongation) limits chronic use unless safer analogues are developed (12, 22). Human anticancer dosing remains undefined, as effective concentrations suggested in the preclinical settings often exceeded standard doses, precluding off-label recommendations (6, 10, 21, 22). Potential interactions with chemotherapy, QT-prolongation in specific drugs, and immunotherapies require exhaustive evaluation, and the current reviews stresses the need for randomized clinical trials to establish efficacy and safe dosing (4, 24). Inclusion in clinical guidelines will depend on early-phase studies evaluating cardiac effects.

## 3- LIMITATIONS

The current evidence supporting the anticancer role of H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines is limited due to the lack of randomized clinical trials and the predominance of retrospective or observational studies. The heterogeneity across study designs, variable patient sample sizes, cancer types, dosing strategies, and outcome measures

further complicates interpretation. Additionally, many preclinical studies rely on concentrations that exceed standard therapeutic ranges, raising questions about clinical translatability. These factors collectively limit the strength of current conclusions and underscore the need for more rigorous, controlled investigations.

#### 4- FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research should focus on reaffirming the therapeutic potential of H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines in oncology through well-designed clinical trials. Comparative studies are needed to determine which agents have the greatest anticancer efficacy and to establish dosing strategies that balance safety with therapeutic benefit. Preclinical work should continue dissecting receptor-related and receptor-unrelated mechanisms, identifying biomarkers that predict response based on H<sub>1</sub>R expression, tumor type, and molecular profile. Given promising evidence of synergy with chemotherapy and immunotherapy, upcoming trials should prioritize rational combination strategies. Additionally, the development of novel analogues or safer derivatives of potent but cardiotoxic agents may broaden therapeutic options. Collectively, these efforts will clarify whether H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines can be repurposed as cost-effective and accessible adjuncts in cancer therapy.

#### 5- CONCLUSION

H<sub>1</sub>R signaling promotes tumor progression by driving cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and immune suppression. This makes H<sub>1</sub>-antagonists (antihistamines) promising candidates for cancer drug repurposing, supported by strong preclinical data and some clinical associations. However, the evidence remains inconsistent. While the desire for drug repurposing is justified by their

accessibility and established safety in allergy treatment, incorporation into oncology requires further validation. Evaluating optimal drugs, dosing regimens, and combination strategies will be essential to unlock the potential of H<sub>1</sub>-antagonists as adjunctive therapies in cancer therapy.

#### 6-ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We appreciate the assistance of the Clinical Research Development Unit at Akbar Hospital in conducting this research.

#### 7- REFERENCES

1. Fritz I, Wagner P, Broberg P, Einefors R, Olsson H. Desloratadine and loratadine stand out among common H<sub>1</sub>-antihistamines for association with improved breast cancer survival. *Acta Oncologica*. 2020 Sep 1;59(9):1103-9.
2. Leurs R, Smit MJ, Timmerman H. Molecular pharmacological aspects of histamine receptors. *Pharmacology & therapeutics*. 1995 Jan 1;66(3):413-63.
3. Thurmond RL, Gelfand EW, Dunford PJ. The role of histamine H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>4</sub> receptors in allergic inflammation: the search for new antihistamines. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*. 2008 Jan;7(1):41-53.
4. Trybus E, Trybus W. H<sub>1</sub> Antihistamines—Promising Candidates for Repurposing in the Context of the Development of New Therapeutic Approaches to Cancer Treatment. *Cancers*. 2024 Dec 20;16(24):4253.
5. Ellegaard AM, Dehlendorff C, Vind AC, Anand A, Cederkvist L, Petersen NH, et al. Repurposing cationic amphiphilic antihistamines for cancer treatment. *EBioMedicine*. 2016 Jul 1;9:130-9.
6. Liu X, Zhong R, Huang J, Chen Z, Xu H, Lin L, et al. Loratidine is associated with improved prognosis and exerts

antineoplastic effects via apoptotic and pyroptotic crosstalk in lung cancer. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*. 2024 Jan 2;43(1):5.

7. Feng YM, Feng CW, Lu CL, Lee MY, Chen CY, Chen SC. Cyproheptadine significantly improves the overall and progression-free survival of sorafenib-treated advanced HCC patients. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2015 Apr 1;45(4):336-42.

8. Mallardo D, Simeone E, Vanella V, Vitale MG, Palla M, Scarpato L, et al. Concomitant medication of cetirizine in advanced melanoma could enhance anti-PD-1 efficacy by promoting M1 macrophages polarization. *Journal of Translational Medicine*. 2022 Sep 30;20(1):436.

9. Massari NA, Nicoud MB, Medina VA. Histamine receptors and cancer pharmacology: an update. *British journal of pharmacology*. 2020 Feb;177(3):516-38.

10. Or CH, Su HL, Lee WC, Yang SY, Ho C, Chang CC. Diphenhydramine induces melanoma cell apoptosis by suppressing STAT3/MCL-1 survival signaling and retards B16-F10 melanoma growth in vivo. *Oncology Reports*. 2016 Dec 1;36(6):3465-71.

11. Yadav P, Vengoji R, Jain M, Batra SK, Shonka N. Pathophysiological role of histamine signaling and its implications in glioblastoma. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Cancer*. 2024 Sep 1;1879(5):189146.

12. Zhao J, Hou Y, Yin C, Hu J, Gao T, Huang X, et al. Upregulation of histamine receptor H1 promotes tumor progression and contributes to poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncogene*. 2020 Feb 20;39(8):1724-38.

13. Ding YF, Ho KH, Lee WJ, Chen LH, Hsieh FK, Tung MC, et al. Cyclic increase in the histamine receptor H1-ADAM9-

Snail/Slug axis as a potential therapeutic target for EMT-mediated progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Death & Disease*. 2025 Mar 20;16(1):191.

14. Zhong P, Nakata K, Oyama K, Higashijima N, Sagara A, Date S, et al. Blockade of histamine receptor H1 augments immune checkpoint therapy by enhancing MHC-I expression in pancreatic cancer cells. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*. 2024 May 8;43(1):138.

15. Nguyen PL, Cho J. Pathophysiological roles of histamine receptors in cancer progression: implications and perspectives as potential molecular targets. *Biomolecules*. 2021 Aug 18;11(8):1232.

16. Wake H, Hatipoglu OF, Nishinaka T, Watanabe M, Toyomura T, Mori S, et al. Histamine induces vascular endothelial cell proliferation via the histamine H1 receptor–extracellular regulated protein kinase 1/2–cyclin D1/cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 axis. *Microvascular Research*. 2025 Sep 3:104866.

17. Sarasola MD, Taquez Delgado MA, Nicoud MB, Medina VA. Histamine in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. Current status and new perspectives. *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives*. 2021 Oct;9(5):e00778.

18. Olsson HL. Use of certain antihistamines among breast cancer patients confers survival benefit. *Cancer Research*. 2018 Jul 1;78(13\_Supplement):5695-.

19. Fritz I, Wagner P, Olsson H. Improved survival in several cancers with use of H1-antihistamines desloratadine and loratadine. *Translational oncology*. 2021 Apr 1;14(4):101029.

20. Fujimura R, Asada A, Aizawa M, Kazama I. Cetirizine more potently exerts mast cell-stabilizing property than diphenhydramine. *Drug Discoveries & Therapeutics*. 2022 Oct 31;16(5):245-50.

21. Asuaje A, Martín P, Enrique N, Zegarra LA, Smaldini P, Docena G, et al. Diphenhydramine inhibits voltage-gated proton channels (Hv1) and induces acidification in leukemic Jurkat T cells- New insights into the pro-apoptotic effects of antihistaminic drugs. *Channels*. 2018 Jan 1;12(1):58-64.
22. Won DH, Yu HJ, Cho NP, Cho SD. In vitro Apoptotic Effect of Terfenadine in Human Oral Cancer Cells Via the Regulation of Caspase 8 and Bid. *대한구강악안면병리학회지*. 2015 Apr;39(2):473-8.
23. Verdoodt F, Dehlendorff C, Jäätelä M, Strauss R, Pottegård A, Hallas J, et al. Antihistamines and ovarian cancer survival: nationwide cohort study and in vitro cell viability assay. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2020 Sep;112(9):964-7.
24. Hamid O, Hamidi N. Enhancing immuno-oncology efficacy with H1-antihistamine in cancer therapy: A review of current research and findings. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2024 Dec 1;40(12):2139-46.
25. Lisiecka MZ. Evolution, mechanism of action, and clinical applications of three generations of Antihistamines. *Innovaciencia*. 2024 Aug 16;12(1).